

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/696,354 | HOBBS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn | Art Unit 1723 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(3) _____.

(2) Vincent K. Gustafson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner required a restriction between claims 1-18 and 19-33. Vincent K. Gustafson then elected claims 1-18 without traverse.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)